

Remarks / Arguments

In the Office Action of March 24, 2006, the Examiner identified the three species as Group 1 (Figs 1-8), Group 2 (Figs 10a-b), Group 3 (Figs 11a-c), Group 4 (Figs 12-14), Group 5 (Fig 15) and Group 6 (Fig 6).

The Examiner provided no explanation as to why the Examiner considers these species to be patentably distinct, as is required by MPEP 808 and 809.02(a) (See, in particular MPEP 809.02(a) under action item (B)). Applicant submits that MPEP Form Paragraph 8.01 specifically indicates that reasons why the species are considered independent or distinct are to be included when making the Species restriction requirement. This information is necessary to permit Applicant to fully and properly respond the election requirement.

Respectfully submitted,
Benjamin Chladny

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bhupinder Randhawa", written over a horizontal line.

Bhupinder Randhawa, Reg'n No. 47,276
Bereskin & Parr, Customer No. 001059